¥

498140

WOOD & LAMPING

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW 2500 CINCINNATI COMMERCE CENTER

600 VINE STREET

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-2409

TELEPHONE (513) 852-6000

TELECOPIER (513) 852-6087

writer's direct dial number (513) 852-6027

COUNSEL.

JOHN WOOD E
JOHN P. SCAHILL
HARRY M. HOFFHEIMER
ROBERT O. LEMING

FRED C LAMPING (1903-1989)

"ALSO ADMITTED IN KENTUCKY
"ALSO ADMITTED IN MINNESOTA
""ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
""ALSO ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA

November 30, 1989

STANTON H VOLLMAN C J SCHMIDT, E WILLIAM R ELLIS**** AMY L TOLNITCH***

THOMAS C. KORBEE

MARK S RECKMAN JAN M FRANKEL

JAMES B HARRISON"

HENRY E MENNINGER, JR

THOMAS M WOEBKENBERG

JANE M LERNER"

JOHN H FLESSA

MATT C MIDEI

MARK R FITCH

GARY J DAVIS"

KENNETH J. SCHNEIDER

WILLIAM H EDER, JR

HAROLD G KORBEE

ALBERT H NEMAN

DAVID A. CALDWELL

ERIC C HOLZAPFEL

PAUL R BERNINGER

ROBERT P MALLOY

JEFFREY M ROLLMAN

STEPHEN COHEN

The Hon. Howard Metzenbaum 140 Russell Senate Office Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20510

The Hon. John Glenn Room 503 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20510

The Hon. Richard Celeste, Governor State of Ohio Broad & High Streets Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: The Skinner Landfill in Butler County, Ohio and the efforts of the State and Federal governments to abate the nuisance.

Dear Senators Metzenbaum and Glenn and Governor Celeste:

- I am enclosing a remarkable file which we obtained from the Ohio E.P.A. office in Dayton, Ohio. These depressing documents indicate, among other things:
- (1) Apparently, as early as 1976, regulators were trying to do something about the contaminants being stored on the site. In May, 1976, according to page 2-6 of Exhibit 1, the owners, perhaps in a fit of bravado, reported the following materials to have been dumped on the site: nerve gas, mustard gas, incendiary bombs, phosphorous, flame throwers, cyanide ash, and other explosive devices. Whether or not the owner's statements were true, the fact remains that dangerous substances are being stored in the fill.
- (2) Apparently <u>six years later</u>, a remedial action plan was adopted in May, 1973.
- (3) Then another <u>four years elapsed</u> (11 years from the 1976 meeting) and the U.S. E.P.A. finally expressed an intent to sue, because the owners continued to violate the law. (Exhibit 2).

November 30, 1989 Page Two

- (4) Because of the severity of the problem, the site was placed on the Superfund National Priorities list and four years later, in March, 1986, a study was commenced to discover the nature and extent of the contamination and to evaluate possible remedies. (See Exhibit 3).
- (5) Another year rolled by, and on November 10, 1987, the Ohio E.P.A., having, we guess, forgotten about its earlier threat to sue, wrote to the proprietor advising her that she was in violation of several sections of the Ohio Revised Code and the proprietor was told that the Ohio E.P.A., this time, really meant business. (Exhibit 4). On November 23, 1987, the Ohio E.P.A. wrote to Mrs. Skinner announcing the results of their November 16 reinspection of the property. (Exhibit 5).
- (6) Apparently all that had not induced much action, either, and on June 22, 1988, Ohio E.P.A. wrote a strong letter to U.S. E.P.A. complaining of the poor quality of U.S. E.P.A. work on the Skinner site. (Exhibit 6).
- (7) On November 4, 1988, the Butler County Board of Health wrote to the Butler County Assistant County Prosecutor asking that suit be filed to enjoin illegal dumping. (Exhibit 7). We know of no suit every having been instituted.
- (8) On April 7, 1989, 13 years after the first recorded conversation between a government regulator and the proprietor of the dumping site, the proprietor gave notice to her customers that they should behave better. (Exhibit 8).
- (9) On April 25, 1989, Ohio E.P.A. again wrote to the proprietor reminding her that on November 10, 1987, she had been told that she was in violation of four sections of the Ohio Revised Code. (Exhibit 9). What happened to all the earlier threats?
- (10) The final document is a letter to the Ohio E.P.A. from the proprietor's lawyer stating that she really did not know what solid waste was supposed to have been deposited in her demolition site. (Exhibit 10). Apparently the author of that letter was not present at the meeting 13 years earlier, in which it was reported that the proprietor said that cyanide ash, phosphorous, flame throwers and several other unpleasant items had been deposited.

WOOD & LAMPING

November 30, 1989 Page Three

Thus, it appears that after 13 years of Federal and State governmental inaction, nothing has been done, while the problems and safety hazards mentioned in the first document, Exhibit 1, persist.

The enclosures reveal an abundance of reports and lots of letters, but, despite what has been an apparently considerable expenditure in time, no real progress has been made to end this dangerous condition.

One clear fact emerges from this unfortunate file: unless they are prodded into activity by elected officials, nothing will be done by the State and Federal agencies which have been established to prevent just this sort of contamination of the environment. Perhaps you, as elected officials, can shake up these public employees.

Please let me hear from you.

Very truly yours,

Albert H. Neman

AHN:mas enclosures

09:8-34,35/mas